THE CONFIGURATION AND CONFORMATION OF ARYL DIAZOTATES AND DIAZOCYANIDES

R.M. Elofson, Natsuko Cyr and J.K. Laidler

Alberta Research Council, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 1Z4

Joan Mason*

Department of Chemistry, The Open University, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK

Abstract ¹⁵N and ¹³C NMR parameters and 4-substituent effects reflect the configuration and conformation in solution of ¹⁵N-labelled syn-(Z)- and anti-(E)- aryl diazotates ArN=NO and aryldiazocyanides ArN=N-CN, as for other aryldiazo compounds.

Introduction

Following our investigation of solutions of benzenediazonium salts¹ and syn and anti diazocyanides² by ¹⁵N and ¹³C NMR and other physical methods, we report comparable results on syn and anti aryldiazotate ions $ArN_\alpha = N_\beta C^n$, the constitution of which has been much discussed, ^{3,4} and further comments on the diazocyanides. ² ¹⁵N (with ¹³C) NMR spectroscopy ⁵ gives unique information on these molecules, since the shifts and coupling constants are sensitive to the geometry, which may differ from the solution to the solid, and some conformers (such as syn-diazocyanides) can only be studied in solution. ⁴ Strong deshielding on bending ⁵ is a useful criterion of structure of diazenido ligands, ⁶ triazenes, ⁷ etc., since the presence of a lone pair on nitrogen (n_N) in a π -system allows low-energy paramagnetic (deshielding) currents, increasingly with reduction in the excitation energy $\Delta E(n_N \to \pi^a)$. ⁵ In organic aryldiazo compounds ArNNX the nitrogen shifts cover a range of 250 ppm, ⁵ or 400 ppm with the inclusion of diazonium compounds, ¹ in which CNN is linear; in diazenido complexes of transition metals (X = ML_D), in which MNN may be bent or linear, the range is 500 ppm. ⁶

In aryldiazo molecules ($ArN_{\alpha}N_{\beta}X$) there are variations in twist (the dihedral angle between the ring plane and the azo plane) to reduce ortho-repulsions, and in the geometry of the CNNX group, depending on $(\sigma+\pi)$ influences of the X group and ring substituents, and steric factors. Twisting reduces NN,ring π -interactions and increases n_N , π -ring interactions, raising the n_N orbital of the distant nitrogen: thus ortho-substitution by alkyl groups in *cis* and *trans* azobenzenes substantially red-shifts the $n_N \to \pi^*$ absorption.⁸

Twisting, therefore, deshlelds the nitrogen, as observed for *cis* compared with *trans* azobenzene (by 20 ppm).⁹ A 53° twist is observed for crystalline *cis*-azobenzene, ¹⁰ while *trans*-azobenzene, though near-planar in the solid¹¹ (for economy in crystal packing) has a 30° twist in the gas phase¹² which probably persists to some degree in solution. Azobenzene twists in the gas phase are greater than in corresponding stillbenes, in which ortho repulsions are somewhat larger, so there seems to be some advantage in n_N , π -ring interaction¹² (indeed, CNDO/2 calculations have given dihedral angles of 90° for *trans*-azobenzene¹⁹). Δ G* values of 7.2-9.6 kcal mof¹ are given by ¹³C NMR studies of internal rotation in 4-substituted azobenzenes.¹⁴ Twisting is monitored also by effects of 4-substituents in the ring, e.g. with change from a π -donor such as NMe₂ or OMe to a π -acceptor such as NO₂ or CN. Changes in the 4-substituent produce large changes in N_β shift in aryldiazocyanides, ² so they are likely to be planar in solution as in the solid. The range for 4-substituted *trans*-azobenzenes (C_6H_4X -4)N_{α}=N_{β}Ph is 13 ppm for N_{α} and 44 ppm for N_{β}.

Coupling constants to nitrogen are sensitive to the presence of a lone pair with s character, since non-bonding and bonding electrons give oppositely-signed contributions to the Fermi contact term which dominates the coupling:¹⁵⁻¹⁷ [J] is thus reduced by twisting or decrease in the nitrogen angle. When two such lone pairs are adjacent, as in the azo group, their combination at a dihedral angle of 180° (trans) gives a large positive Fermi contact contribution, whereas a dihedral angle of 0° (c/s) gives a large negative one.¹⁷

In the solid state disodium syn-4-sulphonatobenzene diazotate shows a remarkable degree of twisting, ¹⁸ a dihedral angle of 69°, while potassium syn-methyl diazotate is planar, ¹⁹ as are anti-diazocyanides (with 4-Cl, 4-Br, or 2,4-Br₂ substituents). ²⁰⁻²² The NNX

angle (in ArNNX) is maximal in transition metal diazenides ArNNML_n with linear MNN groups, the diazenido group acting as a 1-electron donor ArNN⁺ (cf. the linear nitrosyl ligand NO⁺). The 120⁺-bent ligand is a 1-electron acceptor ArNN⁻ (cf. the bent NO⁻ ligand). In the doubly-bent relative to the singly-bent ligand (the NNAr group is always bent), MN coupling constants are reduced, and both nitrogens strongly deshielded, sometimes by 400 ppm or more.⁶ (Interaction of lone pairs on N_{α} and N_{β} gives n₊ and n₋ levels, the higher level giving the greater contribution to the deshielding).

In aryldiazo compounds the CNN angle is normally less than 120°: solid state values are around 110° in azoalkanes, 112-115° in arylazo compounds including triazenes, 23 and 113-117° in the (anti)-diazocyanides with 4-Cl, 4-Br, or 2,4-Br₂ substituents, 20-22 in the syn-diazotates the CNN angle is 116° in the alkyl compound, but 119° in the aryl compound. A significant increase is observed for cis-azobenzene, in which CĥN is 122°, compared to 114° for trans-azobenzene, larger increases being observed with ortho-substitution.⁸ Aryldiazotate bond lengths show some evidence of π-delocalisation: the NO bond is short (1.32 Å) and the NN bond slightly lengthened (1.30 Å), 4 ct. 1.25-1.26 in azobenzenes, and 1.25 Å in the 4-Cl diazocyanide (though 1.28 and 1.32 Å in the diazocyanides with ortho-bromo substituents). There is no evidence for delocalisation in the (anti-) diazocyanide side-chain: the NNX angle is 108-114°, and the NCN angle near 180° (170-174°), the carbon being linear in principle in N-C=N or N=C=N.20-22

15N and 13C nmr parameters in anyl diazotates and diazocyanides

Tables 1 and 2 show ¹⁵N and ¹³C nmr shifts and coupling constants in *syn*- and *anti*-aryldiazotates with 4-substituents ranging from the π -donor OMe to the π -acceptor CN, with values of their Hammett constants σ_p . (All substituent constants are taken from ref. 24.) In contrast to the diazocyanides, the influence of the substituents on the *syn*-diazotate nmr parameters is relatively small, so the twist observed in the solid state is expected to persist in solution. The shielding of ¹⁵N_{β},anti shows the greatest variation with the 4-substituent, decreasing over a range of 20 ppm with increase in π -acceptor ability of the 4-substituent; the shielding of N_{β},syn varies less (by 8 ppm), in the same sense. The shielding of the *ipso* carbon, also, tends to decrease with increase in σ_p , slightly more for the *syn* compounds (13 ppm) than the *anti* (11 ppm). The variation of δ^{15} N_{α} is small, about 5 ppm, for both *syn* and *anti* diazotates.

4-substituent

Hammett σ_D

anti

Nα	syn	-21.7	-17.1	-19.6	-18.8
	anti	0.2	2.1	-2.4	-2.8
Nβ	syn	102.5	106.3	108.4	110.5
	anti	133.3	137.5	145.4	153.4
C(1)	syn	141.7	149.2	147.0	154.4
	anti	144.0	150.0	147.3	154.7
C(2,6)	syn	123.9	122.2	123.7	123.6
	anti	123.0	121.8	121.7	122.6
C(3,5)	syn	114.8	129.7	129.2	134.1
	anti	115.8	130.4	128.9	135.2
C(4)	syn	157.1	126.3	130.5(?)	120.6

Н

0.0

а

0.24

131.2

CN

0.7

122(?)

OCH₃

-0.27

Table 1. 15 N and 13 C nmr shifts (ppm) of sodium *syn*- (Z) and ant-(E)-benzenediazotates $ArN_{\alpha}N_{\beta}O^{-}$ (aq).

The reference for the 15 N shifts is neat liquid nitromethane.

δ¹³C in -OCH₃, 58.3 (sym), 57.1 (anth; in -CN, 108.2 (sym), 109.1 (anth).

In the diazocyanides,² however, the shieldings decrease greatly from the π -donor OMe as 4-substituent to the π -acceptor NO₂; by 8 ppm (syn) and 6 ppm (anti) for C(1), but 61 ppm (syn) and 51 ppm (anti) for N_B, and 25 (syn) and 19 ppm (anti) for N_C. This contrast arises because -CN is a (σ + π) electron-acceptor, whereas O° is a (σ + π) electron donor. In each case the carbon or nitrogen shielding is not very different in the two isomers, but somewhat higher in the syn than the anti compound, consistent with greater π -delocalisation and smaller twist in the latter (σ -cf. the higher shielding 25 for comparable nitrogens in σ -cf. than σ -cf. The differences tend to increase with decrease in the shielding, since small variations in a small excitation energy bulk large.

Relative shieldings of N_{α} and N_{β} in the aryldiazo compounds depend on the $(\sigma+\pi)$ -inductive properties of the X group. The σ -influence of X (measured by the inductive parameter σ_{I}) is much greater on the adjacent nitrogen N_{β} , than N_{α} : in the aryldiazotates (syn or anti) N_{β} is deshielded by 130-140 ppm relative to N_{α} by the strong σ -donor ability of $-O^{-}$ ($\sigma_{I}=-0.16$). This decreases $\Delta E(n\to\pi^{*})$ for N_{β} by destabilizing the n_{N} HOMO (part of the σ framework) relative to the $\pi^{*}NN$ LUMO. In the diazocyanides, however, N_{β} is shielded by about 140 ppm relative to N_{α} by the strong σ -acceptor ability of -CN ($\sigma_{I}=0.57$), increasing $\Delta E(n\to\pi^{*})$ for N_{β} by stabilising the n_{N} HOMO, relative to the $\pi^{*}NN$ LUMO. (The azobenzenes⁹ and (iminoazo) triazenes²⁵ are intermediate.)

Similarly, N_{α} shows more clearly than N_{β} the π -influence of the X group. The N_{α} shielding decreases with increase in the π -accepto ability of the X group (measured by the mesomeric parameter σ_{R}^{O}), which reduces $\Delta E(n \rightarrow \pi^{*})$ by lowering the $\pi^{*}NN$ LUMO relative to the n_{N} HOMO. The N_{α} shielding decreases by nearly 200 ppm from the aryldiazotates, with $\sigma_{R}^{O} = -0.58$ for O^{*} , to the diazocyanides, with $\sigma_{R}^{O} = 0.08$ for CN (again, the azobenzenes and iminoazo triazenes are intermediate). N_{B} however shows the greater effect of twisting.

Interestingly, changing the X-group from O⁻ to CN has a much smaller effect on the shift range of N_B (15-20 ppm) than N_C (95-115 ppm). The σ -inductive influence of the X group affects the radial factor $< r^{-3}>_{2p}$ as well as the energy factor $\Delta E(n \to \pi^*)$ in the paramagnetic term, r being the radius of the 2p electron: the deshielding is the greater the closer are the paramagnetic currents to the nucleus⁶). An electron acceptor X group like CN (relative to an electron donor such as O⁻) increases the radial term as well as the energy term for N_B, so that the resultant effect on the shift is relatively small.

Linear

Table 2. ¹⁵N, ¹³C coupling constants ↓ (Hz) in benzenediazotates

4-substitue	nt	OCH ₃	н	CI	CN	
Hammeţt σ _p		-0.27	0.0	0.24	0.7	
¹ J(N _α N _β)	syn	18.2	18	18.7	19.7	
	anti	15.4	15.5	15.5	16	
$^{1}J(C_{1}N_{\alpha})$	syn	3.6	5.7			
	anti	4.8	0			
$^{2}J(C_{2}N_{\alpha})$	syn	1.9	<1			
	anti	3.1	3			
²J(C₁Nβ)	syn	1.8	<1	1.8		
	anti	5.5	4.9		4.0	
3 J(C $_3$ N $_{lpha}$)	syn	0	<1			
	anti	1.2	1			
³ J(C ₂ Nβ) s	yn	1.2	<1	1.2		
	anti	3.7	3.0		3.6	
⁴ J(C ₃ Nβ) s	yn	0	0		0	

Table 3. ¹⁵N, ¹³C spin-spin coupling constants |J| (Hz) in anyidiazo compounds

¹J(NN)

1J(CN_{cr})

				` •
benzenediazonium sa diazenido ligand, MNN	1.5-3.5	10-18		
18e complexes	i oenij.	12-17		
16e complexes		7-8		
Doubly bent	syn, cis, Z		anti, trans, E	
	¹J(NN)	¹J(CN ₀)	¹J(NN)	¹J(CN _Q)
aryldiazotates	18-20	3-6	15-16	0-5
aryldiazocyanides	23	8-9	15-16	0-3
azobenzenes	15	9	15-17	0-1
bent diazenido ligand		14-19		

The coupling constants support the conclusions from the shifts. $[^{1}J(^{15}N^{15}N)]$ is large in the *syn*-benzenediazotates, increasing with π -acceptor ability of the 4-substituent, and quite large in the *anti* -compounds. $[^{1}J(^{13}C^{15}N)]$ is small in the *syn* compounds and smaller in the *anti* compounds. Azobenzene and anyldiazocyanide couplings are comparable, with somewhat larger $^{1}J(^{15}N^{15}N)$ and $^{1}J(^{13}C^{15}N)$ values in the latter; factors in these increases being the greater planarity and slightly smaller angles at the nitrogens (larger s character) in the diazocyanides than the diazotates, and the increase in |J| observed with an electronegative substituent such as $CN.^{15}$ (The coupling $^{1}J(^{15}N_{6}^{13}C)$) to the cyano group, 17-19 Hz, is large because of the near-sp (i.e. large s) character of the carbon.)

The ¹⁵N-labelled molecules were prepared by literature methods²⁶ from 99% ¹⁵N-enriched ¹⁵NH₄Cl, Na¹⁵NO₂ and aniline (MSD Isotopes). ¹⁵N-labelled anilines were prepared from the benzoyl chlorides by Lewis and Holliday's method and converted to ¹⁵N_α-diazonium sulphates by Hodgson and Mahudevan's method, ¹⁵N_β-diazonium sulphates being made from Na¹⁵NO₂. Doubly-labelled diazonium salts were made similarly. *Syn*-diazotates were made by the method of Le Fevre and Sousa, *anti*-diazotates by that of Schraube and Schmidt. *Syn* and *anti*-diazocyanides were made from enriched diazonium salts as described by Le Fevre and Vine.

References and Notes

- 1. R.M. Elofson, N. Cyr, J.K. Laidler, K.F. Schulz and F.F. Gadallah, Can. J. Chem. 92, 62 (1984).
- 2. R.M. Elofson, N. Cyr, J.K. Laidler, and K.F. Schulz, Tetrahedron Letters 25, 3039 (1984).
- 3. H. Zollinger, Azo and Diazo Chemistry Aliphatic and Aromatic Compounds, Interscience, New York (1961).
- 4. S. Sorriso, chapter 3 In The Chemistry of Diazonium and Diazo Groups, ed. S. Patai, Wiley, New York (1978).
- 5. J. Mason, chapter 12 (Nitrogen) in Multinuclear NMR, ed. by J. Mason, Plenum: New York, 1987; Chem. Rev. 81, 205 (1981).
- 6. B.L. Haymore, M. Hughes, J. Mason and R.L. Richards, J. Chem. Soc., Walton Trans. 2935 (1988) (referring to earlier work).
- 7. C. Casewit and J.D. Roberts, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 2364 (1980).
- 8. C.L. Forbes, E.C. Kelusky, N.J. Bunce and M.C. Zerner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 5884 (1985).
- 9. A. Lycka, Collect. Czech Chem. Commun. 47, 1112 (1982); A. Lycka and J. Kaválek, Ibid. 49, 58 (1984).
- 10. A. Mostad and C. Rømming, Acta. Chem. Scand. 25, 3561 (1971).
- 11. C.J. Brown, Acta Cryst. 21, 146 (1966).
- 12. M. Traetteberg, I. Hilmo and K. Hagen, J. Mol. Struct. 39, 231 (1977).
- 13. S. Ljunggren and G. Wettermark, Acta Chem. Scand. 25, 1599 (1971).
- 14. V.V. Negrebetskii, A. Bokanov and B.I. Stepanov, Zh.Org. Khim. 16, 1708 (1980).
- 15. C.J. Jameson, chapter 3 (Spin-spin Coupling) in Multinuclear NMR, ed. by J. Mason, Plenum: New York, 1987.
- 16. J.M. Schulman and T.J. Venanzi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 4701, 6739 (1976).
- 17. J.M. Schulman, J. Ruggio, and T.J. Venanzi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 2045 (1977).
- 18. N.W. Alcock, P.D. Goodman and T.J. Kemp, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. II 1093 (1980).
- 19. R. Huber, R. Langer and W. Hoppe, Acta Cryst. 18, 467 (1965).
- 20. R. Allman, chapter 2 in The Chemistry of Hydrazo, Azo and Azoxy Groups, ed. S. Patai, Wiley, New York (1975).
- 21. F. Gram and C. Rømming, Selected Topics in Structure Chemistry, Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, p. 175 (1967).
- 22. I. Bø, B. Klewe and C. Rømming, Acta. Chem. Scand. 25, 3261 (1971).
- 23. Y.M. Nesterova, B.A. Poral-Koshits, N.B. Kupleskaya and L.A. Kazitsyna, Zh. strukt. Khim.8, 1109 (1967).
- 24. O. Exner, chapter 10 in Correlation Analysis in Chemistry, ed. by N.B. Chapman and J. Shorter, Plenum: New York, 1978.
- 25. S. Simova, R. Radeglia and E. Fanghänel, J. Prakt. Chem. 324, 777 (1982).
- E.S. Lewis and R.E. Holliday, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 4266 (1969); H.H. Hodgson and A.P. Mahudevan, J. Chem. Soc. 325 (1947);
 R.J.W. Le Fevre and J.B. Sousa, J. Chem. Soc. 745 (1957); C. Schraube and C. Schmidt, Chem. Ber. 27, 514 (1894); R.J.W. Le Fevre and H.J. Vine, J. Chem. Soc. 431 (1938).